

The Flatness of Mass-to-Light Ratio on Large Scales

Li-Zhi Fang¹, and Wen Xu²

ABSTRACT

It has been suggested that the mass-to-light (M/L) ratio of gravitationally clustering objects is scale-independent on scales beyond galaxy clusters, and may also be independent of the mass of the objects. In this paper, we show that the scale behavior of M/L ratio is closely related to the scaling of cosmic structures larger than clusters. The scale dependence of the M/L ratio can be determined by comparing the observed scaling of richness function (RF) of multi-scale identified objects with the model-predicted scaling of mass function (MF) of large scale structures. Using the multi-scale identified clusters from IRAS 1.2 Jy galaxy survey, we have made comparisons of the observed RF scaling of IRAS r_{cl} -clusters with the MF scalings given by simulations of three popular models SCDM, LCDM and OCDM. We find that, the M/L ratio basically is scale-independent from the Abell radius up to about $24 h^{-1}\text{Mpc}$, while it seems to show a slight, but systematical, increase over this scale range. This result is weakly dependent on the cosmological parameters.

Subject headings: cosmology: theory - galaxies: fundamental parameters - large-scale structure of universe

1. Introduction

The scale-dependence of mass-to-light M/L ratio is always an important topic of cosmology. The M/L ratio on scales of galaxies, double galaxies, groups of galaxies, and galaxy clusters provided the first approach of evaluating the mean mass density of the universe (e.g. Faber & Gallagher 1979). A most striking result in this study is that M/L ratio increases with the scale of the system considered (e.g. Rubin 1993). This has inspired the searching for dark matters in objects on scales larger than clusters. Yet, the M/L ratio appears to be flat on the scales of rich clusters and larger. This result has been employed to propose that the M/L ratio on scales larger than clusters asymptotically reaches a constant, which is approximately equal to the median M/L ratio of rich clusters, i.e. $M/L \simeq 300 \pm 100 h (M/L)_{\odot}$ (Bahcall, Lubin & Dorman 1995). This asymptotic M/L ratio yields $\Omega_m \simeq 0.2 \pm 0.07$, suggesting a low density universe (Bahcall & Fan

¹Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721

²Department of Physics and Astronomy, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287

1998). That is, the behavior of M/L ratio on large scales is directly related to the cosmological parameter Ω_m .

The evidence for a flat M/L on large scale is still weak. The observed Virgo cluster infall motion seems to be the only evidence of the flatness on the scale of the local supercluster ($\sim 10 h^{-1}$ Mpc) (e.g. Yahil, Sandage & Tammann, 1980). However, a direct measure of the mass-to-light ratio of the Corona Borealis supercluster gives $M/L \simeq 560 h(M/L)_\odot$. Namely, the M/L ratio on scale $\sim 20 h^{-1}$ Mpc is higher than that of rich clusters by a factor of 2 (Small et al. 1998). On the other hand, galaxy clusters with Abell radius generally are dominated by elliptical galaxies at their centers, while larger structures have a higher fraction of spiral galaxies. Therefore, it is uncertain if the morphological segregation leads to a variation of the M/L ratio of structures larger than clusters.

In this *Letter*, we try to approach this problem by analyzing the observed scaling of clustering on scales beyond clusters. We have found recently that the richness function (RF) and the mass function (MF) of objects identified by multi-resolution analysis are scale invariant from the Abell radius to about $20 h^{-1}$ Mpc (Xu, Fang and Wu 1998, hereafter XFW). We will show that the scale dependence of M/L ratio is completely determined by the scaling factors of RF and MF of these multi-scale identified objects. If the mass field traced by the observed galaxies can match with popular models of CDM cosmogony, one can calculate the M/L 's scale dependence by comparing the observed RF scaling with the model-predicted MF scaling. Using the data of the IRAS 1.2 Jy galaxy survey, we find that the M/L ratio is approximately flat on scales up to, at least, $24 h^{-1}$ Mpc.

In §2 we calculate the mass functions of multi-scale identified clusters from simulation and calculate their scaling indices. In §3 we derive the richness functions and their scaling indices of multi-scale identified clusters from IRAS 1.2 Jy galaxy survey. In §4 we study the scale dependence of mass-to-light ratio by matching the scaling behaviors of theoretical mass functions with those of observational richness functions. §5 is our conclusion.

2. Mass function of r_{cl} -clusters from simulation samples

The model samples of mass distribution used in this paper are similar to XFW. They are generated by N-body simulations with the P³M code developed by Y.P. Jing (Jing & Fang 1994). Three popular models of the CDM cosmogony, i.e. the standard CDM (SCDM), the flat low-density CDM (LCDM) models and the open CDM model (OCDM), are employed. The Hubble constant h ($\equiv H_0/(100 \text{ km/sec/Mpc})$), mass density Ω_0 , cosmological constant Ω_λ and the normalization of the power spectrum of the density perturbation σ_8 are chosen to be (0.5, 1.0, 0.0, 0.62) for SCDM, (0.75, 0.3, 0.7, 1.0) for LCDM, and (0.75, 0.3, 0.0, 1.0) for OCDM. The simulation parameters are: 1.) box size $L = 310 h^{-1}$ Mpc; 2.) number of simulation particles $N_p = 64^3$; and 3.) the effective force resolution $\eta = 0.24 h^{-1}$ Mpc. In this case, each particle

has a mass of $3.14 \times 10^{13} \Omega_M h^{-1} M_\odot$, which is small enough to resolve reliably objects with mass $M \geq 5.5 \times 10^{14} h^{-1} M_\odot$. We produce 8 realizations for each model.

For the simulation results, the clusters on scale r_{cl} and with mass larger than M are identified by the multi-resolution (or multi-scale) analysis based on discrete wavelet transformation (DWT) (Fang & Thews 1998). It has been shown that the DWT-identified clusters on the Abell scale, i.e. r_{ab} -clusters, are the same as those given by *friends-of-friends* (FOF) identification (XFW; Jing & Fang 1994). That is, in terms of the r_{ab} -cluster identification, the DWT method is as good as the FOF. Thus, the DWT method can be reliably used to systematically identify objects on scales $r_{cl} > r_{ab}$ (Xu, Fang & Deng 1999).

With the multi-scale identification of simulation samples, we have model-predicted the mass function of r_{cl} -clusters, $n(> M, r_{cl})$, which is the number density of r_{cl} -clusters with masses larger than M . The MFs of r_{cl} -clusters with $r_{cl} = 1.5, 3, 6, 12$ and $24 h^{-1}$ Mpc in models of the SCDM, LCDM and OCDM are shown in Fig. 1. The middle part of mass function, roughly in the range $10^{-4} > n(> M, r_{cl}) > 10^{-7} h^3 \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$, is more reliable, as all the Poisson errors are relatively small.

It can be seen from Fig. 1 that all the MFs have a similar shape. This is because of the MF scale invariance (XFW) as

$$n(> M, r_{cl}) = n(> \lambda M, r_{ab}), \quad (1)$$

where the scaling factor $\lambda(r_{cl}/r_{ab})$ is only a function of the ratio r_{cl}/r_{ab} , but independent of M . Obviously $\lambda = 1$ when $r_{cl} = r_{ab}$. The scaling factor λ is an important statistic description of clustering on large scales.

3. Richness function of r_{cl} -clusters from IRAS sample

We apply the DWT multi-resolution identification to the redshift survey of the Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS) galaxies with flux limit of $f_{60} \geq 1.2 Jy$ (Fisher et al. 1995). The IRAS sample is of 2 (physical space) + 1 (redshift space) dimension. A DWT algorithm for identifying r_{cl} -clusters from the 2+1 dimension samples has been developed (XFW; Xu, Fang & Deng 1999). To minimize the radial effects, the data are divided into redshift intervals of [2500, 5000], [5000, 7500] and [7500, 10000] km s^{-1} . We then identify r_{cl} -clusters in each interval on scales $r_{cl} = 1.5, 3, 6, 12$, and $24 h^{-1}$ Mpc.

The sampling rate of the IRAS sample is low. The IRAS clusters identified on Abell scale r_{ab} contain much fewer galaxies than optical clusters, and IRAS galaxies do not populate the clusters in the same manner as optical galaxies (Fisher et al. 1995; Webster, Lahav & Fisher 1997). This gives rise to higher Poisson errors. However, if we are interested in clusters larger than the Abell scale, this problem would be softened as structures contain more member galaxies. Meanwhile, IRAS galaxies are mostly of late-type. It traces clusters on various scales r_{cl} uniformly. Therefore,

the samples of IRAS r_{cl} -clusters may be less affected by morphological segregation, and good for studying the scale-dependence of structures.

With the identified r_{cl} -clusters of IRAS galaxies, one can find the richness function of IRAS r_{cl} -clusters, $N_{IR}(> N_g, r_{cl}, z)$, which is the number density of r_{cl} -clusters containing $\geq N_g$ members of IRAS galaxies in the redshift shell z . The RFs of r_{cl} -clusters with $r_{cl} = 1.5, 3, 6, 12$ and $24 h^{-1}$ Mpc are shown in Fig. 2, in which the errors are Poissonian (Xu, Fang & Deng 1999). Despite the errors are large, it is clear that the shapes of all the RFs are basically the same. This feature can be described as a RF scaling invariance in each z shell,

$$N_{IR}(> N_g, r_{cl}, z) = N_{IR}(> \lambda_{IR} N_g, r_{ab}, z). \quad (2)$$

The scaling factor $\lambda_{IR}(r_{cl}/r_{ab})$ is a function of the scale ratio r_{cl}/r_{ab} , but independent of N_g . Obviously $\lambda_{IR} = 1$ when $r_{cl} = r_{ab}$. The scaling factor λ_{IR} of IRAS r_{cl} -clusters as a function of r_{cl}/r_{ab} is presented in Fig. 3. It shows that λ_{IR} is also independent of z within the $1\text{-}\sigma$ error bars.

4. Scale dependence of mass-to-light ratio

By definition, the mass-to-luminosity ratio for IRAS r_{cl} -clusters is the ratio between mass and luminosity of r_{cl} -clusters. One can introduce the M/L ratio of the IRAS r_{cl} -clusters by a mass-number relation as

$$M = R(r_{cl}) N_G, \quad (3)$$

where M is the total mass of a r_{cl} -cluster, and N_G the total number of member galaxies of the r_{cl} -cluster, defined by

$$N_G = N_g / \phi(z), \quad (4)$$

where $\phi(z)$ is the selection function (Fisher et al. 1995). The definition of $R(r_{cl})$ by eq.(3) has implicitly assumed that $R(r_{cl})$, or the M/L ratio, is independent of the mass of the objects, since the M/L ratio of r_{ab} -clusters is found to be mass-independent on average (Bahcall & Cen 1993). Such independence may be caused by the fact that the scale of luminosity-related hydrodynamical process are smaller than that of clusters. Thus, luminosity functions and M/L ratios are statistically independent of environment on cluster scale. Therefore, it would be reasonable to assume that the mass-independence of the M/L ratio still hold on scales larger than clusters.

The number-mass conversion coefficient $R(r_{cl})$ is proportional to the mass-to-light ratio $M/L(r_{cl})$ for IRAS galaxies on scale r_{cl} . We have then

$$\frac{M/L(r_{cl})}{M/L(r_{ab})} = \frac{R(r_{cl})}{R(r_{ab})}. \quad (5)$$

Using eqs. (3) and (4), one can find the mass function of IRAS r_{cl} -clusters $n_{IR}(> M, r_{cl}, z)$ as

$$n_{IR}(> M, r_{cl}, z) = N_{IR}(> (M\phi(z)/R(r_{cl})), r_{cl}, z). \quad (6)$$

Therefore, the RF scaling (2) will further yield a MF scaling of IRAS r_{cl} -clusters as

$$n_{IR}(> M, r_{cl}, z) = n_{IR}(> \lambda_{IR}^{MF} M, r_{ab}, z), \quad (7)$$

where the MF scaling factor λ_{IR}^{MF} is given by

$$\lambda_{IR}^{MF}(r_{cl}/r_{ab}) = \lambda_{IR}(r_{cl}/r_{ab}) \frac{R(r_{cl})}{R(r_{ab})}, \quad (8)$$

or

$$\frac{M/L(r_{cl})}{M/L(r_{ab})} = \frac{\lambda_{IR}^{MF}(r_{cl}/r_{ab})}{\lambda_{IR}(r_{cl}/r_{ab})}. \quad (9)$$

Namely, the scale-dependence of the M/L ratio is completely determined by the ratio between the MF and RF scaling factors of the IRAS r_{cl} -clusters.

However, despite that we have the observed scaling factor $\lambda_{IR}(r_{cl}/r_{ab})$, we are in lack of the observed $\lambda_{IR}^{MF}(r_{cl}/r_{ab})$. This problem can be overcome by matching the IRAS galaxy traced mass field with popular models of CDM cosmogony. With properly selected parameters, CDM cosmogony models have been found to provide good approximation to many observational properties of large scale structures, especially the mass function of optical and X-ray clusters (e.g. Jing & Fang 1994; Bahcall, Fan & Cen 1997, and references therein). On the other hand, some individual clusters and superclusters from optical surveys have been identified from the IRAS data (Fisher et al. 1995; Webster et al. 1997). Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that the mass field of CDM cosmogony model provides a description for the mass field traced by the IRAS galaxies. Thus, the statistical properties of the simulated r_{cl} -clusters should be the same as that of the IRAS r_{ab} -clusters. We have then

$$\lambda(r_{cl}/r_{ab}) = \lambda_{IR}^{MF}(r_{cl}/r_{ab}), \quad (10)$$

i.e. the scaling factors of $n(> M, r_{cl})$ and $n_{IR}(> M, r_{cl})$ are the same. Using eqs. (9) and (10) we have

$$\frac{M/L(r_{cl})}{M/L(r_{ab})} = \frac{\lambda(r_{cl}/r_{ab})}{\lambda_{IR}(r_{cl}/r_{ab})}. \quad (11)$$

Thus, the $M/L(r_{cl})$ ratio can be determined by the ratio between the model-predicted $\lambda(r_{cl}/r_{ab})$ and the observed $\lambda_{IR}(r_{cl}/r_{ab})$.

The ratio λ/λ_{IR} as a function of r_{cl}/r_{ab} is plotted in Fig. 4. For LCDM and OCDM, the value of this ratio does not depart from 1 with a significance larger than $1-\sigma$ in the entire range of scales from 3 to $24 h^{-1}$ Mpc. In the case of SCDM, only at $r_{cl} = 24 h^{-1}$ Mpc, the ratio shows a difference from 1 with 2σ level. Particularly, in the redshift shell [2500, 5000] km s $^{-1}$, the ratio is very flat for all the three models. Thus, for IRAS sample, the M/L ratio is basically flat on large scales from 3 to $24 h^{-1}$ Mpc, i.e.

$$\frac{M/L(r_{cl})}{M/L(r_{ab})} \simeq 1. \quad (12)$$

This result is *weakly* dependent on specific parameters of models of the CDM cosmogony. The χ^2 goodness is larger than 98% for all the three CDM models.

Nevertheless, in Fig. 4, we can see a slight, but systematic increase of M/L with scale. A linear fitting by $\log(\frac{M}{L}(r_{cl})/\frac{M}{L}(r_{ab})) \simeq a + b \log r_{cl}$ yields χ^2 goodness larger than 99.9% for all the three models. The least-square fitted slope b is found to be 0.19 ± 0.17 for OCDM, 0.21 ± 0.17 for LCDM, and 0.41 ± 0.17 for SCDM. That is, the M/L ratio on scale $\sim 20h^{-1}$ Mpc is probably larger than that of r_{ab} by a factor about 1.7 for LCDM and OCDM. (or, a factor of 2.9 for SCDM.) These results for OCDM and LCDM are consistent with the M/L ratio measurement of the Corona Borealis supercluster. Namely, M/L scale-dependence given by IRAS galaxies is consistent with optical data.

5. Conclusion

The RF scaling factor of multi-scale identified r_{cl} -clusters is fundamentally important as a statistical measure for clustering on scales larger than clusters. Since these RFs depend only on the number of member galaxies of r_{cl} -clusters, they can be directly detected from a galaxy redshift survey regardless the mass determination of individual objects.

The M/L ratio of gravitationally clustering systems which consist of luminous objects and dark matter depends only on the scale of the system but not on total mass (Bahcall and Cen 1993). This is actually a scaling of cosmic gravitationally clustering. Therefore, the scale dependence of M/L ratio is closely related to other scaling indices of these objects. We have shown that the scale behavior of M/L is determined by the RF and MF scaling factors of r_{cl} -clusters.

By comparing the mass function scaling of simulated samples with the richness function scaling of IRAS 1.2 Jy galaxies, we conclude that the assumption of M/L -flatness is basically correct in the range from 1.5 to 24 h^{-1} Mpc. This conclusion is weakly dependent on the cosmological parameters we have considered.

We would like to thank an anonymous referee for a detailed report which improves the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- Bahcall, N.A. & Cen, R. Y. 1993, ApJ, 407, L49
- Bahcall, N.A. & Fan, X. 1998, Proc. Natl Acad Sci, USA, 95, 5956
- Bahcall, N.A., Fan, X. & Cen, R.Y. 1997, ApJ, 485, L53
- Bahcall, N. A., Lubin, L. M. & Dorman, V. 1995, ApJ, 447, L81

- Fang, L. Z. & Thews R. 1998, *Wavelts in Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore
- Faber, S.M. & Gallagher, J.S. 1979, Ann. Rev. Astr. Astrophys., 17, 135
- Fisher, K. B. et al. 1995, ApJS, 100, 69
- Jing, Y.P. & Fang, L.Z. 1994, ApJ, 432, 438
- Rubin, V.C. 1993, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 90, 4814
- Small, T., Ma, C.-P., Sargent, W., Hamilton, D. 1998, ApJ, 492, 45
- Webster, M., Lahav, O., & Fisher, K. 1997, MNRAS, 287, 425
- Xu, W., Fang, L. Z. & Wu, X. P. 1998, ApJ, 508, 472. (XFW)
- Xu, W., Fang, L. Z., & Deng, Z. G. 1999, ApJ, in press. (astro-ph/9905156)
- Yahil, A., Sandage, A. & Tammann, G.A. 1980, ApJ, 242, 448

Fig. 1.— Mass functions of clusters identified with radii $r_{cl} = 1.5, 3.0, 6.0, 12$ and $24 h^{-1}$ Mpc for models of SCDM, LCDM and OCDM at the epoch $z = 0$. $n(> M, r_{cl})$ is the number density of r_{cl} -clusters with masses larger than M in unit of $h^3 \text{Mpc}^{-3}$. M is in unit of $h^{-1} \text{M}_{\odot}$.

Fig. 2.— Richness functions of multi-scale identified r_{cl} -clusters from IRAS galaxies in the redshift shells of $[2500, 5000]$, $[5000, 7500]$ and $[7500, 10000]$ km s^{-1} , with $r_{cl} = 1.5, 3.0, 6.0$ and $12 h^{-1}$ Mpc. N_g is the number of member galaxies of the IRAS r_{cl} -clusters. $n_{IR}(> N_g, r_{cl})$ is the number density of r_{cl} -clusters with number of member galaxies more than N_g .

Fig. 3.— Scaling factor $\lambda_{IR}(r_{cl}/r_{ab})$ as a function of r_{cl} . The error bars come from the clusters identified from IRAS galaxies. The triangle, circle and cross are, respectively for the redshift shells $[2500, 5000]$, $[5000, 7500]$ and $[7500, 10000]$ km s^{-1} . The triangle and cross data are horizontally shifted, respectively, by -0.01dex and $+0.01\text{dex}$ for clarity.

Fig. 4.— The ratio $\lambda(r_{cl}/r_{ab})/\lambda_{IR}(r_{cl}/r_{ab})$ or $(M/L(r_{cl}))/ (M/L(r_{ab}))$ as a function of scale r_{cl} . The symbols are the same as those in Fig.3.







